THESIS STUDENT ASSESSMENT

The following information is needed for on-line data entry at http://bio.wcupa.edu/biology

I. Evaluation of Oral Communication Skills (BIO 610 Presentation)

Student: ___________________________  Semester: __________

Members of the Committee: ______________________________________________

Title of Presentation:

1. Organization
   Introduction sets up the talk? ______________________
   Logical Sequence of ideas/information? __________
   Smooth transitions between major points? (Score as 1-10, to nearest 0.5)

   Summary of main points at the end?

2. Content

   Shows good understanding of background material? __________
   Able to explain data and answer questions? __________
   Data are clear and easy to follow?  (Score as 1-10, to nearest 0.5)
   Full bibliography/credits?

3. Delivery
   Made eye contact with the audience? __________
   Audible? Acceptable pace? __________
   Adherence to time limit?  (Score as 1-10, to nearest 0.5)
   Spoke, did not read or recite material?

   (Bottom Line) On a scale of 1-10 (to the nearest 0.5), evaluate the overall performance in terms of ability shown in Oral Communication.

   Score: _________________
II. Evaluation of **Writing Skills** - Thesis

**Student:** __________________

**Faculty advisor:** ____________________________

**Semester:** ___________

**Title of Paper:**

A. **First draft**
   - Organization (logical sequence of ideas/information)
   - Style (clarity, syntax)
   - Grammar/Spelling

**Score:** ____________
Summarize writing skills, on a scale of 1-10 (to the nearest 0.5)

B. **Final Draft**
   - Organization (logical sequence of ideas/information)
   - Style (clarity, syntax)
   - Grammar/Spelling

**Score:** ____________
Summarize writing skills, on a scale of 1-10 (to the nearest 0.5)

**SCORE DISTRIBUTION**

Student performances are in oral and written communication are evaluated and rated from 1-10, to the nearest half integer. Numerical scores DO NOT correlate to letter grades of A, B, C, or F. Guidelines for the ratings are as follows.

**0-6.5:** reflects varying levels of unsatisfactory performance. These scores should never be seen in an acceptable written or oral presentation. They will most often be used in the advisor's evaluation of the initial written draft. Reasons for these scores would be poor quality references, numerous grammatical/spelling errors, misuse of statistical analyses, improper formatting, or poor quality tables, graphs, charts, or figures.

**7-7.5:** indicates a minimally acceptable (fair) passing performance. For written papers this might be given for poor quality references, numerous grammatical or spelling errors, poorly aligned graphs, tables, or charts, or not following the style and format that were requested.

**8-8.5:** indicates a good to very good performance, but not an excellent or superior one.

**9-10:** indicates an excellent or superior performance. A rating of 10 indicates perfection, and should rarely if ever be used. A rating of 9.5 indicates that the student could submit the paper to a prestigious journal, or give the oral presentation at a national meeting. A rating of 9.0 indicates that the student is above average for all students that the advisor/committee have seen.
III. Evaluation of Information Literacy · Thesis

Each of the 4 components of overall information below is evaluated on a scale of 1-5, where 1 = poor, 2 = fair, 3 = good, 4 = very good, 5 = excellent

1. Clarity of objectives _______
   The objectives of the paper are clearly stated, and the content is clearly consistent with the stated objectives.

2. Quantity and completeness of information sources _______
   Scores indicate the range of sources, including an adequate proportion of primary literature, and completeness in terms of the topic.

3. Synthesis of information sources _______
   The degree to which the information is integrated/synthesized and shaped to express the student’s own “voice” (avoiding an approach that, for example, simply strings together a sequence of information sources). For thesis students, this especially includes the Discussion of research results in the context of previous, published work.

4. Adequate and complete citation of information sources _______
   Information presented with sufficient documentation to be able to locate the original source, both in the text of the paper and in the References. Papers that exhibit documented instances of plagiarism and thus a clear violation of ethical standards are given a zero.

Information Literacy _____ (scale of 1-10, to nearest 0.5).
The score integrates the 4 rubrics above. Papers for which plagiarism has been documented are given a summary score of zero (0).